

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,626	09/29/2003	7/29/2003 Takuya Hamada	HAMA3005/EM	6229
23364 759	90 08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			SANTIAGO, MARICELI	
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/671,626	HAMADA ET AL.	DA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mariceli Santiago	2879		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this contained and the contained are set to be a set of the contained are set		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□		action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-9</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>4,6 and 7</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2,5 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/29/2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. accepted or b) objected or by objected or	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage	
2)	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on June 8, 2006, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 1 and 3 has been entered.

Claims 2 and 4-9 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kagami et al. (US 4,275,333).

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Kagami discloses a fluorescent display device wherein light is emitted by impinging a low speed electron beam on a phosphor layer formed on an anode, wherein the phosphor layer comprises a phosphor (Ln₂O₂S:Re, Column 4, lines 25-30) and a compound containing at least one of P, K and Na (phosphate phosphor, Column 4, lines 13-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/671,626

Art Unit: 2879

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamada et al. (US 6,690,119) in view of Kagami et al. (US 4,275,333).

Regarding claim 8, Hamada discloses a phosphor paste comprising a phosphor and a first compound selected from P₂O₆ (Column 2, lines 12-19). Hamada exemplifies a phosphor paste further comprising another compound of a conductive oxide, such as ZnO, In₂O₃, however, it fails to disclose such composition being a compound of W. In the same field of endeavor, Kagami discloses a phosphor layer further comprising a conductive oxide compound, such as tungsten oxide (WO₃), indium oxide (In₂O₃) and zinc oxide (ZnO), included in the phosphor composition in order to increase the luminance of the phosphor (Column 3, lines 46-68). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the ad at the time the invention was made to provide a conductive oxide of WO₃ as disclosed by Kagami instead of the ZnO or In₂O₃ compounds disclosed by Hamada in order to increase the luminance of the phosphor layer composition, since the selection of any of these known equivalents would be are considered within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 6 and 7 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 4, 6, 7 and 9, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claims 4, 6, 7 and 9, and specifically

Application/Control Number: 10/671,626

Art Unit: 2879

comprising the limitation of a compound containing at least one selected from the group consisting of K₃PO₄, NaPO₃ and Na₂SiO₃, wherein the compound being added in an amount of 0.01 to 10.00 wt% to the phosphor.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claim 8, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's contends that the prior art references to Hamada et al. (US 6,690,119) in view of Kagami et al. (US 4,275,333) fails to teach the compound claimed in the amended claims, the compound being selected from the group consisting of K₂PO₄, NaPO₃ and Na₂SiO₃, however, it is notices that the compound are not claimed in claim 8. Claim 8 states a phosphor paste comprising a phosphor and a first compound containing at least one of P, K and Na, the limitations are considered to be disclosed by Hamada.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/671,626 Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Sartiago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2879